



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,007	07/09/2003	Johannes Willibrordus A. Overkamp	ACD2735US1	1024
7590 04/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard P. Fennelly Akzo Nobel IncIntellectual Property 7 Livingstone Avenue			VOLLANO, JEAN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dobbs Ferry, N			1621	· ·
		DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,007	OVERKAMP ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean F. Vollano	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'				
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 (in part), and 12 (in part), drawn to a process for the preparation of a hydroxyperacid using R1[C(O)OC(O)OR2]n or [R3C(O)OC(O)O]p R4, classified in class 562, subclass various.
- II. Claims 1-9 (in part), drawn to diacylperoxide using R1[C(O)OC(O)OR2]n or [R3C(O)OC(O)O]p R4 classified in class 558, subclass various.
- III. Claim 10 –11 (in part), drawn to a process for the preparation of a mixed anhydride R1[C(O)OC(O)OR2]n, classified in class 558, subclass various.
- IV. Claim 10-11 (in part), drawn to a process for the preparation of a mixed anhydride [R3C(O)OC(O)O]p R4, classified in class 558, subclass various.
- V. Claim 12 drawn to a hydroxydiacylperoxide, (product by process) 558, various subclasses
- VI. Claim 13 and 16 (in part) drawn to the method of using a hydroperoxide for bleaching, , classified in class 568. 528, subclass various.
- VI. Claim 13 and 16 (in part), drawn to a method of using a hydroperoxide for oxidation, classified in class 564, 568, 562 etc, subclass various.
- VIII. Claim 13 and 16 (in part), drawn to a method of using a hydroperoxide for epoxidation, classified in class 549, subclass various.

Art Unit: 1621

IX. Claim 13 and 16 (in part), drawn to a method of using a hydroperoxide for chain transfer, classified in class 568, subclass various.

- X. Claim 13 and 16 (in part), drawn to a method of using a hydroperoxide for copolymerizations or copolymer modification reactions, classified in class 526,528, subclass various.
- XI. Claim 14, drawn to a method of using a hydroperoxide for the preparation of poly(methyl) acrylates, classified in class 526, 528, subclass various.

It is noted that claims 13-14 and 16 are written improperly and if any of the claims is elected then the method of use claims should be written in the proper form giving the steps in the method claims.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and different effects. Group I is draw to the preparation of peracid by the reaction of a mixed anhydride of formula R1[C(O)OC(O)OR2]n or [R3C(O)OC(O)O]p R4. This differs from Group II in that the product being prepared is diacylperoxide and not a peracid. The diacylperoxide are found in a different Class and subclass and the process of Group I is preparing a different compounds then Group II. A 35 USC 102 rejection of Group I would not obviate a rejection of Group II. The processes are patentably distinct. Group III and Group IV are processes for preparing different anhydrides of the two formulas given. The step of preparing the anhydrides is not a step in the process of the preparation of any of the compounds in Groups I or II. If applicant would like it to

Art Unit: 1621

be a step then the process for preparing the peracid or the diacylperoxide should begin with first preparing the anhydride and then continuing. The mixed anhydrides being used in the reactions of Groups I-II are the same no matter what the preparation of the anhydride was. If the limitation of the process steps were to be part of the claim it would should be added to the independent claim as a first step or have all the steps place as addition steps in claim 10 but not as is written.

The method claims are improper because they are not in statutory form. The grouping of the method of use claims is showing what the Groups would be if written in statutory form. However again it is noted that the method of use of a compound that is a known compound is independent of the method of preparation. The known compound is the same no matter how it is prepared. The methods of use are all patentably distinct and would be classified by what is being prepared. The method of using a compound in oxidation is different than the method of using the same compound in copolymer modification reactions. The method of use as expoxidation reagent is different from an oxidation reagent. The methods of use are related to the compounds being prepared, respectively. There is product by process claims which are grouped into Group V. The product does not depend on the process and reads on any compound that fits the verbal structure.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1621

A telephone call was made to Mr. Richard P. Fennelly on 4/18/2004 to discuss the election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Vollano whose telephone number is 571-2720648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean F. Vollano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

April 18,2004